Enriched Rehabilitative Training Promotes Improved Forelimb Motor Function and Enhanced Dendritic Growth after Focal Ischemic Injury
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Chronic impairment of forelimb and digit movement is a common problem after stroke that is resistant to therapy. Previous studies have demonstrated that enrichment improves behavioral outcome after focal ischemia; however, postischemic enrichment alone is not capable of enhancing fine digit and forelimb function. Therefore, we combined environmental enrichment with daily skilled-reach training to assess the effect of intensive task-specific rehabilitation on long-term functional outcome. Rats were subjected to either endothelin-1-induced focal ischemia or sham surgery and subsequently designated to enriched-rehabilitation or standard-housing treatment groups starting 15 d after ischemia. Functional assessment of the affected forelimb at 4 and 9 weeks after treatment revealed that ischemic plus enrichment (IE) animals had improved ~30% on the staircase-reaching task and were indistinguishable from sham animals for both latency and foot faults in a beam-traversing task. In contrast, ischemic plus standard (IS) animals remained significantly impaired on both tasks. Interestingly, both ischemic groups (IE and IS) relied on the nonaffected forelimb during upright weight-bearing movements, a pattern that persisted for the duration of the experiment. Dendritic arborization of layer V pyramidal cells within the undamaged motor cortex was examined using a Golgi-Cox procedure. IE animals showed enhanced dendritic complexity and length compared with both IS and sham groups. These results suggest that enrichment combined with task-specific rehabilitative therapy is capable of augmenting intrinsic neuronal plasticity within noninjured, functionally connected brain regions, as well as promoting enhanced functional outcome.
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Behavior and experience are capable of inducing “plastic” changes within the normal (Rosenzweig et al., 1962; Greenough et al., 1985; Kolb and Gibb, 1991) and injured (Jones and Schallert, 1992; Nudo et al., 1996) (for review, see Kolb et al., 1998) adult brain. For example, exposure to an enriched environment before or after focal ischemic injury resulted in improved behavioral outcome (Ohlsson and Johansson, 1995; Johansson and Ohlsson, 1996) on several sensorimotor tasks. Similarly, specific behavioral experience, such as motor-skill training after experimental brain injury (Nudo et al., 1996) or constraint-induced therapy after clinical stroke (Taub et al., 1993; Kunkel et al., 1999; Liepert et al., 2000), provides substantial functional benefit. This suggests that rehabilitative therapies may remodel neuronal circuitry within the surrounding tissue and that such reorganization contributes to “recovery” of motor function compromised by the injury. Although recent studies have begun to investigate the mechanisms underlying sensorimotor recovery, such processes, as well as their time course, remain poorly understood.

Exposure to enriched living conditions initiated before or after focal ischemic injury in rats (Grabowski et al., 1993) has failed to ameliorate forelimb and digit impairments, necessary for skilled reaching and grasping. By combining both enriched living conditions and daily skilled-reach training, we intended to provide necessary situations in which use of the affected limb would be increased, thereby effectively stimulating the compromised sensorimotor system. In addition, enrichment has been shown to alter expression of several neurotrophic growth factors, such as nerve growth factor (NGF) (Dahlqvist et al., 1999) and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) (Rowntree and Kolb, 1997), and thereby may enhance recovery when initiated in concert with rehabilitation.

By exposing animals to enriched living conditions and daily rehabilitation, designed to encourage (rather than force) skilled use of the impaired forelimb, we attempted to improve sensorimotor function of the impaired forelimb and digits, as well as to provide an effective model for investigation of the mechanisms underlying rehabilitation-induced functional improvement. Importantly, treatment was delayed until 15 d after injury (Johansson and Ohlsson, 1996) to establish the efficacy of such therapy if introduced at a more “clinically relevant” time point, as well as to exclude possible deleterious effects of early behavioral overuse on recovery (Kozlowski et al., 1996; Risedal et al., 1999).

Rehabilitation after small ischemic lesions promotes neuronal reorganization within adjacent cortical tissue and is associated with motor recovery (Nudo et al., 1996). Recent clinical studies also indicate an ipsilateral contribution to functional recovery (Cramer et al., 1997; Cuadrado et al., 1999; Kopp et al., 1999). In addition, animal studies demonstrate electrolytic lesion-induced neuronal plasticity within functionally connected regions of the...
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Figure 1. Enriched-rehabilitation treatment condition. A, View of a typical enriched environment. Cages and objects were changed twice weekly to promote exploration and a broad range of tactile experience. B, The rehabilitative reaching apparatus, which was implemented daily (5 d/week) to promote deficit-specific therapy of the impaired forelimb and digits. A shelf directly below the impaired limb was filled with food pellets to reinforce skilled use of the impaired forelimb. C, Side view of the staircase-reaching task. See Materials and Methods for additional description.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Subjects were 57 male Sprague Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories, Montréal, Québec, Canada), each weighing 290–320 gm at the time of surgery. Animals were housed on a reverse 12 hr light/dark cycle, and all behavioral assessments were done during the dark phase. All procedures were in accordance with guidelines established by the Canadian Council on Animal Care and received previous approval of the Institutional Animal Care Committee of Memorial University.

Surgical procedures

Anesthesia was induced using a mixture of 2% halothane in 30% oxygen and 70% nitrous oxide; animals were subsequently maintained using 1% halothane. Middle cerebral artery (MCA) occlusion was induced by stereotaxic microinjection of endothelin-1 (ET-1) (Sharkey et al., 1993; Sharkey and Butcher, 1995) to the distal portion of the MCA. Stereotaxic coordinates of the injection site with respect to bregma were as follows: anteroposterior, +0.9 mm; mediolateral, −5.2 mm; and dorsoventral, −8.7 mm. Endothelin-1 (60 pmol) was dissolved in 3 μl of saline and injected over a period of ~1 min. The needle remained in place for 5 min to minimize backflow. Sham surgeries included either a 3 μl injection of saline adjacent to the MCA or all manipulations up to and including drilling the burr hole. Rectal temperature was monitored and maintained between 36.5 and 37.5°C using a heated water blanket for the duration of the surgery. In addition, body temperature was monitored for 8 hr after surgery via intraperitoneal telemetry probes (Mini-Mitter Co., Sunriver, OR).

Enriched rehabilitation

Fifteen days after induction of focal ischemia, animals were designated to one of four groups: ischemia plus enrichment (IE), ischemia plus standard housing (IS), sham plus enrichment (SE), or sham plus standard housing (SS). “Enriched” animals were housed socially (groups of four to five animals) in large wire mesh cages (Fig. 1). Enrichment cages contained several different objects for exploration (i.e., shelves, plastic tubing, ladders, and rope) and were changed twice weekly; objects were rearranged after each cleaning. Animals had access to food and water ad libitum, except during behavioral testing.

In addition to being housed in enriched environments, enriched groups were exposed to daily rehabilitative training (5 d/week). Animals were removed from the enrichment cages and placed into standard rodent cages, each containing a Plexiglas rehabilitation reaching apparatus comprised of a central platform with a shelf on either side (Fig. 1, middle). The dimensions of the apparatus only allow independent forelimb retrieval of pellets on either side of the animal. The shelf below the unaffected forelimb was left empty, whereas the shelf below the impaired forelimb was filled with mini M&Ms (which rats find highly palatable). The height to which M&Ms were filled and the design of the apparatus prevented tongue use, or “cheating” with the unaffected forelimb. Animals had access to M&Ms ad libitum for 6 hr daily.

Standard groups were housed singly in Plexiglas cages and did not receive rehabilitative therapy. However, the standard condition was not completely impoverished. The repeated exposure to the staircase (two trials per day for 5 d) and beam-walking test periods early in the recovery period (10–15 d after ischemia), as well as at later time points, provided novel behavioral experience directed toward limb use. To control for any possible effects of chocolate, sugar, or other additives on recovery, standard-treated animals were fed the average daily amount of M&Ms eaten by an enriched animal (i.e., ~9 gm/d).

Behavioral testing

Staircase skilled-reaching test. Beginning the day before and continuing for the duration of the staircase testing period, animals were food restricted to 12 gm/d. Body weights were recorded daily and did not fall below 80% of initial weight. Two weeks before surgery, animals were trained (8 d, 2–15 min trials/d) to reach for pellets in the staircase test (Montoya et al., 1991). Each staircase consisted of seven steps, with three food pellets situated on each step. Animals were required to climb on a central platform to successfully retrieve and eat pellets (45 mg; Noyes, Lancaster, NH) from staircases situated on either side of the animal. The number of pellets eaten per side was used as a measure of forelimb reaching ability. The design of the apparatus prevented any dropped pellets from being retrieved. After surgery, animals were again tested for reaching ability at days 10–15 (before treatment). That is, rats were tested for staircase deficits before being assigned to enriched rehabilitation or standard housing. They were retested at 4 (Post 1) and 9 (Post 2) weeks after initiation of enrichment or standard housing. Each test period consisted of 10 trials (two trials per day for 5 d), and the best three trials were averaged to obtain the maximum level of performance. Animals that did not show a significant staircase deficit (<65% of training performance) during the pretreatment test period (days 10–15 after surgery) were excluded from the experiment. To control for selection bias (before group designation), ischemic animals with similar reaching deficits were paired and then randomly assigned to either treatment condition.

Asymmetrical forelimb use. Animals were placed into a clear Plexiglas cylinder (40 cm in diameter) situated on a glass tabletop and were videotaped from below, via an angled mirror. The number of forelimb wall contacts (single and bilateral) used for postural support was counted. The percentage of ipsilateral forelimb use was then calculated by using the following equation: (ipsilateral limb use/total number of limb uses) × 100 (Jones and Schallert, 1994; Forgie et al., 1996; Prusky and Whishaw, 1996).

Beam-traversing task. Before surgery, animals were trained to rapidly cross an elevated wooden beam into a darkened plastic tube fixed at the “finish line.” The beam was 2.5 cm wide and was elevated to a height of ~1 m above the floor. Each test session consisted of three trials in which latency to cross the beam and the number of foot faults were recorded.
Rats will normally walk with their feet flat on the surface of the beam (Kolb and Whishaw, 1983). Therefore, a fault was defined as any use of the forelimb or hindlimb on the side of the beam, or if either foot slipped off the top surface of the beam. The results of the three trials were averaged to give a mean latency and foot fault score. Training was completed 1 week before surgery, and testing was done at postsurgical day 15 (before treatment) and again at 4 and 9 weeks after treatment.

**Histological procedures**

**Histology and volumetric analyses.** After the final behavioral test session, animals were given an overdose of sodium pentobarbital and transcardially perfused with 10% formalin; brains were then harvested. Brains were sectioned at a thickness of 6, 40, or 200 μm from a random start point and stained with hematoxylin and eosin, cresyl violet, or Golgi–Cox (described below), respectively. A uniform random sample of sections between +3.7 mm anterior and −5.8 mm posterior (relative to bregma) (Paxinos and Watson, 1997) was assessed for infarction, and the area of remaining “good” tissue was measured using the public domain NIH Image program. Infarct areas were determined by projecting a computer-generated grid (Cavaliere Macros; Glen McDonald, University of Washington, Seattle, WA) over a uniformly random sample of sections spanning the extent of the infarct. Based on the Cavaliere principle (Howarth and Macleod, 1998), unbiased volumes were calculated using the following equation: \( V = T \cdot a  \cdot P \cdot S \cdot P \), where \( T \) is the thickness of each section, \( a \) is the known area associated with each grid point, and \( P \) is the number of grid points landing within the infarcted tissue on the \( z \) section. Infarct volumes of the cortex and striatum are represented as percentage of volume of the normal hemisphere. “Infarct” was defined as ischemic tissue that was devoid of neurons (or neuronal processes for Golgi-stained tissue) when examined microscopically.

Golgi–Cox. Animals (\( n = 24 \)) were transcardially perfused with 0.9% saline, and brains were removed. Whole brains were immersed in a modified Golgi–Cox solution (Glaser and Van der Loos, 1981) for a period of 14 d before being placed in a 30% surose solution for 2–5 d. Brains were sectioned at 200 μm with a vibratome, slide mounted, and stained according to the methods outlined by Gibb and Kolb (1998). Before examination, all slides were coded to conceal the experimental condition.

Both apical and basilar dendrites of layer V pyramidal cells within the forelimb motor cortex of the uninjured hemisphere were located and drawn at 250× magnification using the Neurolucida neuron tracing system (MicroBrightField Inc., Colchester, VT). This system allows computer-assisted three-dimensional reconstruction of the dendritic arbor, thus providing accurate measurement of dendrite length. For basilar trees, 10 pyramidal cells were drawn for each animal. However, difficulty in finding intact apical trees (in a few animals) only permitted reconstruction of 6–10 apical dendritic trees per animal. Apical and basilar dendritic trees were examined separately for (1) total dendritic length, (2) total number of branch segments, (3) centrifugal branch ordering (Coleman and Riesen, 1968), and (4) Sholl concentric sphere analysis. Branch order analysis was done such that branches emerging from either the cell body (basilar) or the primary apical dendrite (apical) were first order. After the first bifurcation, branches were considered second order, etc. Quantification of each branch type using this method provides an indication of dendritic arbor complexity.

To be included in the study, cell bodies of pyramidal neurons had to be located within the caudal forelimb region of the motor cortex (extending approximately from bregma to +2.0 mm from bregma) as defined by previous anatomical (Zilles and Wree, 1995) and electrophysiological (Neafsey et al., 1986) studies of this region. Also, neurons had to meet a specific selection criterion (Kolb and Gibb, 1991) to be included in the analyses. Briefly, cells had to be completely impregnated, visible in the plane of section, and free from obstruction by other dendrites, astrocytes, or blood vessels. A representative photomicrograph of Golgi-impregnated cells is shown in Figure 2.

**Statistics**

All behavioral data were analyzed using a repeated-measures ANOVA or two-way ANOVA when appropriate. Sham groups (SE versus SS) did not significantly differ on behavioral measures; consequently, these groups were pooled for statistical purposes. Infarct volume data were analyzed using unpaired Student’s \( t \) tests. The dendritic arbor was examined by averaging all cells per animal and subjecting the mean values (for each animal) to ANOVA to determine group differences. Statistical significance for behavioral and anatomical data was ascertained using Newman–Keuls post hoc comparisons.

**RESULTS**

After surgery, all animals were examined for successful MCA occlusion and forelimb impairment. All animals showed vigorous contralateral forelimb retraction–adduction, as well as spontaneous rotation and twisting in the direction contralateral to the lesioned hemisphere when held by the tail.

**Infarct volumes**

To assess any possible effect of enriched rehabilitation on infarct size, the volume of the remaining noninfarcted tissue was measured. Figure 3 represents the topographic location of the ischemic infarct, which typically included lateral regions of cortex and lateral striatum (caudate putamen). Infarct size was determined by measuring areas of noninfarcted tissue in both the damaged and undamaged hemispheres. These area measures were then used to calculate values corresponding to the volume of remaining hemispheric, cortical, and striatal tissue. Mean volumetric
before animals were designated to either treatment condition (38.5 and 33.8%, respectively; \( p > 0.10 \)). Interestingly, performance with the limb ipsilateral to the infarct also showed a slight but significant decrement in ischemic animals compared with controls at days 10–15 (\( F_{(2,56)} = 3.64; \ p < 0.05 \)) and at 4 weeks after treatment (\( F_{(2,56)} = 4.48; \ p < 0.02 \)). Ipsilateral reaching in both IE and IS animals remained consistent with training performance but failed to show the same (practice-induced) improvement observed in sham animals (Fig. 4B).

Animals were reassessed for skilled reaching at 4 and 9 weeks after treatment, and a highly significant effect of treatment was observed at both time points (day 30, \( F_{(2,56)} = 66.96; \ p < 0.0001 \); day 67, \( F_{(2,56)} = 59.19; \ p < 0.0001 \)). Post hoc analyses verified that ischemic animals exposed to rehabilitative enrichment performed significantly better than ischemic animals exposed to standard housing (\( p < 0.01 \)). IE animals had improved (~30% of pretreatment ability) from the pretreatment test session, whereas the performance of IS animals showed little to no recovery from early postischemia testing. In addition, tongue use (which is frequently used to retrieve pellets from the top stairs after injury) was almost completely abolished in IE animals demonstrating recovered forelimb use. The observed impairment in forelimb reaching was not attentional because animals persistently (but unsuccessfully) attempted to retrieve food pellets with the impaired limb. Interestingly, the enduring reaching deficit in IE animals appeared to be sensory in nature. After advancing the limb and contacting the pellets, animals seemed unaware that the clasped paw did not contain a pellet because an empty paw was frequently placed into the mouth.

**Forelimb asymmetry**

The repeated-measures ANOVA revealed a significant effect of condition for limb use during movements of postural support (\( F = 16.65; \ p < 0.0001 \)). Individual ANOVA analysis for each test day revealed a significant group effect at 15 d after surgery (\( F_{(2,35)} = 20.29; \ p < 0.0001 \)) and at both weeks (\( F_{(2,35)} = 7.66; \ p < 0.01 \)) and 9 weeks (\( F_{(2,35)} = 18.07; \ p < 0.0001 \)) after treatment. Ischemic groups (IE and IS) showed a significant reliance on the ipsilateral good forelimb for postural support against the cylinder wall at 15 d after surgery (\( p < 0.01 \) (Fig. 5A) compared with sham animals. Interestingly, this preference remained despite being exposed to 4 or even 9 weeks of enriched rehabilitation (\( p < 0.01 \)). Post hoc analyses revealed that IE animals did not differ from IS animals (\( p > 0.05 \)) at pretreatment or after 4 weeks of treatment. At 9 weeks after treatment, IS animals were statistically more dependent on the ipsilateral limb compared with the IE group (\( p < 0.01 \)); however, this difference is likely attributed to the inherent variability of this task. IE animals were not different from earlier test periods (i.e., pretreatment or Post 1), which is likely attributable to chance rather than to an improvement of IE animals.

**Beam-traversing task**

The beneficial effect observed during skilled reaching was also reflected on a beam-walking test, requiring skilled limb–paw placement to cross the narrow runway. A repeated-measures ANOVA revealed a significant effect of treatment (\( F = 4.71; \ p < 0.05 \) on both total number of foot faults and latency to cross the beam (Fig. 5B,C). Ischemia (IE and IS) resulted in significantly more foot faults (\( p < 0.05 \)). Remarkably, IE animals displayed marked recovery of limb-placing ability, despite showing substantially greater initial deficits after ischemic injury (before treat-

### Table 1. Percentage of volume of remaining tissue compared with the contralateral hemisphere

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IE</th>
<th>IS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hemisphere</td>
<td>72.2 ± 9.2</td>
<td>71.8 ± 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>59.9 ± 19.0</td>
<td>61.1 ± 21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striatum</td>
<td>35.45 ± 25.2</td>
<td>28.9 ± 20.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ischemic infarct size did not statistically differ between the IE and IS groups. Data are mean ± SD.

**Skilled reaching**

Figure 4 represents contralateral and ipsilateral reaching performances (respectively) over the course of the experiment. Percentage of reaching scores were obtained by comparing an animal’s training performance with its performance on subsequent test sessions. A repeated-measures ANOVA revealed a significant main effect for treatment condition (\( F = 79.94; \ p < 0.0001 \)) and day (\( F = 17.14; \ p < 0.0001 \)), as well as a treatment condition by day interaction effect (\( F = 9.80; \ p < 0.0001 \)). Sham animals exposed to either enrichment or standard treatment conditions did not differ in reaching performance for either limb over the duration of the experiment (\( p > 0.50 \)), so these animals were pooled into a single sham group for analysis. At 10–15 d after injury, before assignment to treatment conditions, ANOVA analysis of reaching performance revealed a dramatic impairment in forelimb use contralateral to the lesion (\( F_{(2,56)} = 86.19; \ p < 0.0001 \)) in both ischemic groups compared with shams. Mean reaching performance was not statistically different between IE or IS groups.
IE animals were indistinguishable from sham animals after 4 or 9 weeks of treatment, whereas IS animals made significantly more foot faults at all test days ($p < 0.05$).

Latency to traverse the beam was also examined (Fig. 5C). Group latencies did not differ during training ($F(2,17) = 0.18; p > 0.80$) or on day 15 after surgery ($F(2,17) = 0.047; p > 0.90$). At 4 weeks after treatment, latencies decreased significantly for both the sham and IE groups, whereas IS animals were virtually unchanged ($F(2,17) = 12.91; p < 0.001$). At 9 weeks after treatment, the trend for longer latencies persisted in IS animals but did not reach statistical significance ($F(2,17) = 2.65; p = 0.10$). At Post 1, IE animals are significantly faster than sham animals ($p < 0.05$). The reason for this is that animals housed in the enriched living condition (both IE and SE animals) traversed the beam more rapidly than did either standard-housed group. Post hoc comparison of IE and SE animals at Post 1 and Post 2 showed that these groups were not significantly different. However, when SE is pooled with the slower SS animals, the difference becomes statistically significant.

**Anatomical analyses**

The dendritic arbor of layer V pyramidal cells within the undamaged forelimb motor cortex was examined after 9 weeks of either treatment condition and revealed effects for both ischemic lesion and treatment condition. Figure 6 shows representative reconstructions of layer V pyramidal cells from IE, IS, and SE treatment conditions. Importantly, the mean number of basilar branch segments for sham (SS) animals in this study was consistent with previous reports of retrogradely labeled layer V corticospinal cells (i.e., 40 vs 37 branches, respectively) (Prusky and Whishaw, 1996). Both apical and basilar dendrites were examined; however, no statistical differences in apical branch patterns were observed for any group when measured for dendritic length ($F(3,19) = 0.56; p = 0.64$) or total number of apical dendrite segments ($F(3,19) = 0.38; p = 0.78$).

In contrast, a marked elevation in basilar dendrite arborization was observed. Figure 7, A and B, shows the results of basilar dendritic length and the total number of branch segments. ANOVA revealed a significant group effect for total dendritic length per neuron ($F(3,20) = 7.30; p < 0.01$). Post hoc analyses confirmed that the IE group had significantly greater dendritic length compared with the IS, SE, and SS groups ($p < 0.05$). A similar pattern was observed for total number of dendritic branch segments per neuron ($F(3,20) = 7.22; p < 0.01$) such that IE animals had a significantly greater number of basilar dendrites than SE and SS groups (Fig. 7B). In addition, ischemic injury alone (IS) significantly increased the number of basilar segments compared with SS animals ($p < 0.05$).

The lack of persistent apical alterations was also confirmed by...
the absence of any group effect for branch number per apical branch order (Fig. 8A): first, $F_{3,19} = 1.81, p = 0.18$; second, $F_{3,19} = 1.49, p = 0.25$; third, $F_{3,19} = 0.89, p = 0.46$; fourth, $F_{3,19} = 2.30, p = 0.11$; and fifth, $F_{3,19} = 0.75, p = 0.54$. However, elevated basilar arbor complexity was highlighted by a greater number of branch segments per branch order (Fig. 8B). A significant effect of group was observed at second-order ($F_{3,20} = 3.93, p < 0.05$), third-order ($F_{3,19} = 5.70, p < 0.01$), fourth-order ($F_{3,20} = 4.88; p < 0.01$), fifth-order ($F_{3,20} = 8.36; p < 0.01$), and sixth-order ($F_{3,20} = 4.95; p < 0.01$) branches. IE animals had more third-order branches compared with SS animals ($p < 0.05$) and more branches at the fourth, fifth, and sixth order compared with all other groups (IS, SE, and SS groups; $p < 0.05$).

Figure 8C shows the results of the Sholl concentric sphere analysis, which examines the number of dendrite–sphere intersections at increasing distances from the cell body. IE animals had a consistently greater number of intersections between 60 and 220 μm away from the cell body compared with standard-housed sham animals (SS). Significant elevations were observed at 80, 100, 120, 140, and 160 μm from the cell body ($p < 0.05$). Interestingly, IS and SE animals also had an increased number of intersections compared with SS animals but only at distances of 80 and 100 μm ($p < 0.05$).

**DISCUSSION**

There are several important findings from this study. (1) Enriched rehabilitation after MCA occlusion resulted in a dramatic improvement in skilled use of the impaired forelimb as measured by the reaching and beam-walking tasks. (2) Behavioral recovery was long term (~3 months) and occurred despite treatment being delayed 15 d after injury and despite ischemic injury to both the cortex and striatum. (3) Ischemic animals exposed to enriched rehabilitation (IE) had significantly greater dendritic arbors in the undamaged contralateral motor cortex compared with all other groups (IS, SE, and SS groups) and were associated with improved functional outcome.

**Enriched rehabilitation improves behavioral function**

Previous experiments have demonstrated the functional benefits of exposure to enriched living conditions (Ohlsson and Johansson, 1995; Johansson and Ohlsson, 1996) or rehabilitative training (Nudo et al., 1996) after focal ischemic injury when either treatment was implemented individually. We hypothesized that, by combining environmental enrichment with task-specific physical rehabilitation, the two conditions would complement each other and further augment neuronal plasticity and recovery. Postinjury enrichment alone does not improve skilled reaching after MCA occlusion (Grabowski et al., 1993). However, our results demonstrate that enriched housing paired with daily reach training improved both skilled reaching and limb placement in beam walking. Similar to other studies (Ohlsson and Johansson, 1995; Johansson and Ohlsson, 1996), enriched rehabilitation did not influence infarct size.

ET-1-induced MCA occlusion caused ischemic injury to the frontoparietal cortex and lateral striatum, resulting in contralateral impairments of forelimb and hindlimb movement and ultimately causing a reliance on the ipsilateral forelimb (Castro, 1977; Whishaw et al., 1986). Exposure to daily enriched rehabilitation resulted in a marked behavioral improvement on both reaching and limb-placement tasks when animals were retested after 4 and 9 weeks of treatment. Standard-housed (IS) animals did not improve. Whishaw (2000) has consistently demonstrated that, after motor cortex lesion, equivalent (compensatory) improvement occurs in skilled reaching, regardless of whether animals receive postsurgical reach training. Such “spontaneous recovery” was not observed in our study, indicating that the severe and persistent impairment (~3 months after stroke) in skilled forelimb use is likely attributable to the compounding effect of both cortical and striatal injury after MCA occlusion. Indeed, the dorsolateral striatum contributes to both initiation and execution of skilled reaching (Whishaw et al., 1986; Pisa, 1988).

Reliance on the unaffected forelimb for upright weight-bearing movements persisted in IE animals, despite improved motor function on the reaching and beam-walking tests. This may be attributed to differences in the nature of each test. Forelimb asymmetry examines inherent use of the limb for postural support. In contrast, the staircase test is a “learned” task; animals become skilled in retrieving pellets by precise forelimb movement and digit manipulation. The repeated reaching exercise provided by enriched rehabilitation provides task specificity that improves performance once the animals are retested on the staircase task. Although variations in forelimb movement or posture were not examined directly, motor recovery is likely a result of adaptive compensatory strategies (Whishaw et al., 1991) and is not necessarily comprised of the original posture or forelimb movement patterns. In addition, a process of suppressed movement or “learned nonuse” (Taub et al., 1993) may account for part of the reaching deficits and preferential limb use during postural support. Enriched rehabilitation provided positive reinforcement (i.e., food reward) associated with using the impaired limb, thereby encouraging animals to use “spared” motor function or promoting development of compensatory motor strategies, resulting in lessened functional deficiency.

**Effects of enriched rehabilitation on dendritic plasticity**

Increasing evidence from clinical studies of “recovered” stroke patients suggests functional reorganization within peri-infarct regions, as well as the homotopic intact motor cortex (Cramer et al., 1997; Cuadrado et al., 1999; Kopp et al., 1999). Electrolytic lesions of the sensorimotor cortex in rats triggers an

---

**Figure 7.** A. Total basilar dendrite length. Enriched rehabilitation (IE) significantly elevated dendritic length compared with the standard-treated ischemic group (IS) and either sham condition (SE and SS). A consistent but nonsignificant increase was observed in the IS condition. B. Total number of basilar branch segments per neuron in the undamaged forelimb motor cortex. Enriched rehabilitation resulted in a significantly greater number of branches than was observed in the sham groups (SE or SS). Ischemia alone (IS) also increased branch number compared with SS. Data are mean ± SD. *p < 0.05.*
increase in dendritic complexity within the opposite, undamaged sensorimotor cortex (Jones and Schallert, 1992, 1994). Interestingly, if movement of the good limb was restricted, dendritic growth was prevented, and behavioral outcome worsened. Recently, Jones et al. (1999) reported that use-dependent synaptogenesis observed in the undamaged hemisphere is enhanced by “acrobat training,” suggesting that brain plasticity can be heightened by postinjury rehabilitative measures.

We investigated the effect of deficit-directed rehabilitation on dendritic reorganization (within the intact motor cortex), which may contribute to an enhanced behavioral outcome after focal ischemia in rats. Dendritic growth was only slightly increased in SE animals compared with SS animals. This might be expected because we only measured the untrained hemisphere; therefore, the effect of daily reach training (in shams) is likely restricted to the hemisphere contralateral to the trained limb (Greenough et al., 1985). Interestingly, this suggests that enriched housing did not significantly modify layer V dendrites.

Ischemic animals exposed to daily enriched rehabilitation showed a pronounced increase in basilar dendritic growth. Alterations in basilar dendrites included total dendritic length, mean number of branch segments, and dendritic arbor complexity. Branch order analysis revealed that the change in dendritic complexity occurred at higher-order branches (i.e., third-order to sixth-order), which is indicative of dendritic growth onto existing branches (Kolb et al., 1998). These results are in agreement with those of Jones et al. (1999) such that daily enriched rehabilitation of the impaired forelimb augmented layer V dendritic plasticity after ischemic injury to the cortex and striatum. This amplified dendritic growth (and probable spine modifications) is likely the substrate for the increased synapse number in layer V after acrobat training, as reported by Jones et al. (1999). Interestingly, there was an absence of apical dendritic change. One explanation is that apical modifications (as well as any enrichment-induced dendritic changes in sham animals) occurred earlier in the recovery period and were subsequently “pruned” (Jones and Schallert, 1992); however, this possibility could not be determined from this experiment. These dendritic alterations may reflect an ipsilateral component to functional improvement after sensorimotor injury, either by formation of new synaptic connections or alternatively by unmasking redundant pathways (Lee and van Donkelaar, 1995). Indeed, a ventral uncrossed corticospinal tract has been shown in the rat (Vahlsing and Feringa, 1980); however, its role in recovery is unclear. After unilateral cortical lesion, contralateral corticostriatal axons send commissory projections to the (denervated) striatum (Cheng et al., 1998), subsequently causing striatal spine densities to rebound to normal levels, a phenomenon that can be prevented by a second lesion of the contralateral cortex (Cheng et al., 1997). One could speculate that enriched-rehabilitation therapy acts to modify and strengthen the connections that are inherently formed after brain injury, resulting in improved functional outcome.

Ischemic injury produced a modest increase in dendritic arbors in the intact motor cortex that was enhanced by rehabilitative therapy. From this experiment, the physiological mechanism responsible for stimulating this growth is unclear. Motor-skill training, as opposed to motor activity, promotes motor learning associated with dendritic (Greenough et al., 1985) and synaptic (Klein et al., 1996) modification. Enriched rehabilitation likely enhances learning-induced structural plasticity, which is reflected by improved functional outcome. Training-induced plasticity within motor regions adjacent to the infarct may subsequently affect functionally connected motor circuitry within the intact hemisphere, resulting in dendritic growth. An important consideration is that the observed dendritic changes may be a result of the increased use of the unaffected limb (Jones and Schallert, 1994) in the enriched-rehabilitation condition. Thus, one interpretation is that asymmetrical forelimb use, resulting primarily from striatal injury, produces similar contralateral cortical plasticity as a sensorimotor cortex lesion.

Although our investigation was limited to the contralateral intact motor cortex, numerous studies have provided evidence for extensive neuronal and synaptic remodeling of cortical regions surrounding the lesion (Kolb and Gibb, 1991; Stroemer et al., 1995) and expansion of cortical maps after rehabilitative therapy (Nudo et al., 1996). In the absence of direct anatomical evidence from animal studies to suggest an ipsilateral component to motor recovery, it cannot be concluded that the ipsilateral dendritic modifications reported here are responsible for the observed motor improvement. However, in light of clinical studies (Cramer et al., 1997; Cuadrado et al., 1999; Kopp et al., 1999) that have indicated an ipsilateral contribution to motor recovery, the relevance of ipsilateral morphological changes may be of great importance. Presumably, enriched rehabilitation (even when delayed) augments neuroplastic processes and compensatory neuronal growth within both peri-infarct and contralateral tissues, which ultimately contributes to improved motor function.

Intrinsic changes in neurotrophic growth factors resulting from environmental enrichment may also influence neuronal plasticity. Environmental enrichment after focal ischemia alters NGF...
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